Electrochemical-Hydothermal Synthesis of Co-doped Manganese Dioxides and Study of their Electrochemical Properties.

Emilie Machefaux, Laurie I. Hill, Alain Verbaere and Dominique Guyomard. Institut des Matériaux Jean Rouxel, 2 rue de la Houssinière , BP32229, 44322 Nantes, France

A prospective search is in place in some laboratories in order to develop positive electrode materials for Li-metal primary and secondary batteries, having lower toxicity, lower cost, and higher average voltage than vanadium-based oxides. It seems that manganese oxides are good candidates, especially γ -MnO₂. These materials can be described as a random intergrowth of two types of structural units: pyrolusite (1x1 channels) and ramsdellite (2x1 channels), these channels allowing reversible lithium insertion. This structure shows another type of defect, microtwinning. Therefore, γ -MnO₂ materials are characterized by the amount of pyrolusite intergrowth denoted P_r (in percent) and by the amount of microtwinning defects denoted Mt (in percent).^{1,2}

According the literature, the reversible lithium insertion capacity of γ -MnO₂ materials should increase when the amounts of pyrolusite and microtwinning defects are the lowest. With this goal in mind, we have chosen to explore the electrochemical-hydrothermal method for the synthesis of MnO₂ materials approaching the ramsdellite limit.^{3, 4, 5, 6}

The doping of manganese oxides with different transition metals, such as cobalt, has been shown to improve their electrochemical properties. In the case of LiMn₂O₄, the Co-doping leads to the suppression of the Jahn-Teller distortion during reversible lithium insertion (at 3V).⁷ During the reversible lithium extraction (at 4V), the Co-doping in LiMn₂O₄ limits the dissolution phenomena and reduces the variation of the lattice volume.⁸ Another example is the doping of δ -MnO₂ (Birnessite) by different transition metals (such as Al, Fe, Co). According this study,⁹ cobalt is the best dopant. In fact, during the lithium insertion, one can observe an increase of the capacity and a better cyclability compared to non doped materials.

Given these results, the purpose of this study is to synthesize Co-doped γ -MnO₂ by the electrochemicalhydrothermal method, to study their physico-chemical and structural properties and to determine the effect of Codoping on the electrochemical properties by comparison with non-doped MnO₂.

Co-doped MnO_2 have been prepared by oxidation of an aqueous $MnSO_4/CoSO_4$ solution. In some preparations, Li_2SO_4 was also added to the initial solution to probe the effect of Li⁺ on the synthesis. To determine the effect of synthesis conditions on the structure, we have varied the temperature, the applied current and the pH of the solution.

Chemical compositions and water contents were determined with atomic absorption spectroscopy by inductively coupled plasma (AAS/ICP analysis) and with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), respectively. The average Mn oxidation state was determined by redox titration. Surface area measurements were carried out with the BET method. The morphology of samples was studied using scanning electron microscopy. The average Co oxidation state was determined with X-Ray Absorption Near Edge Spectroscopy (XANES).

The chemical and structural characterizations indicate the cobalt is present (3% mol.) in the compound (for a pH \geq 4) and limits the formation of the pure pyrolusite phase, which is a positive point as the β -MnO₂ phase is inactive towards the lithium insertion (see Figures 1 and 2).

Further details of the structural and physicochemical characterizations, as well as the effect of the cobalt presence in the composition on the morphology and on the electrochemical properties will be discussed.



Figure 1 : Phase diagram, in the temperature-pH plane, of compounds synthesized from a solution containing $MnSO_4$ and $CoSO_4$ and an applied current density $J=0.36mA/cm^2$.





Figure 2: Phase diagram, in the temperature-pH plane, of compounds synthesized from a solution containing $MnSO_4$ and an applied current density $J=0.36mA/cm^2$, for comparison.

References

- Y. Chabre and J. Pannetier, *Prog. Solid State Chem.*, 23, 1 (1995).
- 2. S. Sarciaux, A. Le Gal La Salle, A. Verbaere, Y. Piffard and D. Guyomard, *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.*, **548**, 251 (1999).
- L. I. Hill, R. Portal, A. Le Gal La Salle, A. Verbaere and D. Guyomard, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, 4 (6) D1-D3 (2001).
- L. I. Hill, R. Portal, A. Verbaere and D. Guyomard, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, 4 (11) A180-A183 (2001).
- 5. L. I. Hill, A. Verbaere and D. Guyomard, *J. New Mat. Electrochem. Syst.*, **5** (2), 129-134 (2002).
- 6. L. I. Hill, H. Arrivé and D. Guyomard, *Ionics*, **8**, 161 (2002).
- 7. J. H. Lee et al., J. Power Sources, 89, 7-14 (2000).
- 8. L. Sanchez et al., *J. Mater. Chem.*, **6** (1), 37-39 (1996).
- 9. S. Franger et al., Thèse de doctorat, 151-224 (2001).

] L. I. Hill, R. Portal, A. Le Gal La Salle, A. Verbaere et D. Guyomard, *Electrochem. Solid State Lett.*, **4** (6) D1-D3 (2001).

[3] L. I. Hill, R. Portal, A. Verbaere et D. Guyomard,

Electrochem. Solid State Lett., **4** (11) A180-A183 (2001). [4] L. I. Hill, A. Verbaere et D. Guyomard, *J. New Mat. Electrochem. Syst.*, **5** (2), 129-134 (2002).

[5] L. I. Hill, H. Arrivé et D. Guyomard, *Ionics*, accepté (2002)

L. I. Hill, A. Verbaere et D. Guyomard, J. New Mat. Electrochem. Syst., **5** (2), 129-134 (2002).

Figure 11 : Diagramme de phase, dans le plan température- pH, des composés préparés à partir d'une solution de MnSO₄ et de $CoSO_4$ pour une densité de courant appliquée J=0,36 mA/cm² (soit I=10 mÅ), en présence d'ions L i⁺









Figure 12 : Rappel du diagramme de phase dans le plan température- pH, des composés préparés à partir d'une solution de $MnSO_4$ pour une densité de courant appliquée J=0,36 mA/cm² (soit I=10 mA), en présence d'ions Li⁺ (O) ou en absence de Li⁺ (Δ) dans la solution. Il n'y a pas de cobalt dans la solution de synthèse.