X-ray Charge Density Studies of $Sc_2@C_{66}, Sc_3N@C_{68}$ and $Gd@C_{82}$

Masaki Takata, Eiji Nishibori, Shintaro Narioka, Ken-ichi Iwata, Makoto Sakata & Hisanori Shinohara[#] Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya University ,Nagoya 464-8603, Japan. [#]Department of Chemistry, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

The structure of an IPR-violated metallofullerene $Sc_2@C_{66}$ has been determined in the electron density level¹⁾ by the MEM/Rietveld method^{2,3)} using synchrotron radiation powder data. The fundamental structure has been obtained by the Rietveld analysis. The cage structure of C₆₆ has been unambiguously identified as that of Isomer No.4348. The obtained charge density by the MEM analysis shows that the encapsulated two Sc atoms form the covalent bonded Sc₂ dimer and that the charge density of dimer is overlapping with that of C₆₆ cage, indicating the existence of a covalent bond character between Sc₂ and the carbon cage.

It should be noted that the recent theoretical study suggested a different structural model of $Sc_2@C_{66}$ based on the isomer **4059**⁴⁾. The best fit of the Rietveld refinement based on this theoretical model gives the reliability factors R_{wp} =9.1% and R_I =57.6%. The extremely large discrepancy between the calculated and observed X-ray powder pattern should be caused by the burger-shaped cage structure of **4059** and the difference of Sc-Sc interatomic distance. Even at the Rietveld analysis level, it is concluded that the X-ray diffraction data obviously can not be explained by the **4059** structure based on the simple ionic bonding model between Sc atoms and the cage.

The charge densities of $Sc_3N@C_{68}$ and $Gd@C_{82}$ will be also presented.

1) Wang, C.R. et al. Nature 408, (2000)426-427

- 2)M.Takata, E.Nishibori, M.Sakata: Z. Kristallogr. 216(2001)71
- 3)M.Takata et al., Nature, 377(1995)46-49
- 4)K.Kobayashi and S. Nagase, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **362** (2002)373.