Nonlinear Harmonic Response of Mixed-Conducting SOFC Cathodes

Stuart B. Adler, Jamie R. Wilson, and Daniel T. Schwartz Department of Chemical Engineering University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195-1750

A popular technique for analyzing rate enomena in solid-state electrochemical systems is *ectrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy* (EIS), nich seeks to separate and identify complex rerlapping physical phenomena via. *time scale*. hile EIS has proven a powerful technique, it mains difficult to fully interpret impedance sponse of mixed conducting SOFC cathodes due to de dispersion and/or overlap among physical ocesses in the frequency domain, and ambiguity in terpreting linearized, low-amplitude response ften described by equivalent circuits) in terms of ique physical mechanisms.

In order to address some of these issues, we are rrently developing an extension of EIS that volves measurement and analysis of 2nd order and gher nonlinear harmonics produced by moderate plitude a.c. perturbations. These harmonics ormally filtered-out during an EIS measurement r purposes of noise reduction) carry substantial formation about the nonlinearity of physical ocesses. By correlating these nonlinearities to nescale, one can in principle provide unique and luable information about the electrode reaction echanism not available by other means. Similar chniques have been used successfully in other Ids such as corrosion, biosensors, fluid mechanics, nlinear optics, and electroanalytical chemistry.

In this paper we attempt to illustrate the efulness of this concept by modeling the response a dense mixed-conducing film electrode $a_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}Co_{3-\delta}$) on an oxygen ion conducting ectrolyte (yttria stabilized zirconia). We show that tysical scenarios yielding identical impedance sponse have radically different behavior in the 2nd d 3rd harmonic spectra. These differences can be ed to resolve overlapping effects such as bulk insport vs. surface kinetics, and isolate interfacial te laws (mechanisms) at the solid-solid and gaslid interfaces.

As an example, Figure 1 shows the first order rmonic response (impedance) for two cases: 1) a m limited 100% by ionic diffusion of oxygen rough the film vs. 2) a film limited 80% by ffusion and 20% by surface kinetics. The rameters have been chosen such that both have the me overall linearized d.c. resistance at zero bias. Ily a slight phase shift is discernable between ese two cases. However, the second and 3^{rd} rmonic spectra are quite different for these two

cases due to differences in asymmetry governii diffusion (thermodynamic enhancement factor) v the O_2 reduction reaction (exponential reactiaffinity).

