Infrared and SFG Studies on Hydrogen Bonding Interaction between Poly(acrylate) and Bisphenol A

Guifeng Li[†], Shigeaki Morita[‡], Shen Ye^{†,‡} and Masatoshi Osawa^{†,‡,¶}

[†] Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

[‡] Catalysis Research Center, Hokkaido University,

[¶] CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST)

The miscibility of polymer blends strongly depends on the physical and chemical interactions between the polymers.^{1,2} Hydrogen bonding is one of the important chemical interactions in the polymers with electron accepter and donor groups. In the present study, the hydrogen bonding in the blends of poly(2-methoxyethyl acrylate) (PMEA), which is promising biomaterial with excellent blood compatibility,³⁻⁵ and bisphenol A (BPA) have been investigated by infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (IRRAS).6,7 These results have been discussed in comparison with the hydrogen bonding behavior on the PMEA surface observed by sum frequency generation (SFG),⁷⁻⁹ which is an intrinsically interface-sensitive vibration spectroscopy technique,10,11 after immersion in a BPA solution.

PMEA (Mw~ 8.5×10^4) was synthesized by the radical polymerization.³ PMEA and BPA were blended in methanol with different ratios. The polymer blends were deposited on the solid substrates by casting. IRRA spectra were recorded by coadding 32 interferrograms with a grazing angle reflection kit using a Bio-Rad FTS 575C FT-IR spectrometer. The SFG system using a tunable broadband infrared pulse (3700~1000 cm⁻¹, 200 cm⁻¹ fwhm) and a narrow-band visible pulse (800nm, <10 cm⁻¹ fwhm) has been described elsewhere.¹²

Figure 1 shows IRRA spectra of the polymer blends on Au surface, in the frequency region of (A) O-H stretching $(3700 \sim 3100 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and (B) C=O stretching $(1800 \sim 1650 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. IRRA spectra of deuterated polystyrene (dPS) blended with BPA (9 %) were also given for comparison (Fig. 1b). A strong peak was observed at 1740 cm⁻¹ for pure PMEA (Fig. 1B(a)) and can be assigned to C=O stretching of the carbonyl group in PMEA film. In the BPA/dPS blend, this peak was not observed while two broad bands were identified around 3340 and 3530 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 1b). As extensively investigated in previous work, these two peaks can be assigned to the OH stretching of multimers and dimers of hydroxyl group in BPA, respectively.^{1,2}

As BPA was blended into PMEA, a new peak was clearly observed at 1715 cm⁻¹. This peak can be attributed to the C=O stretching of the carbonyl group in PMEA hydrogen bonded to the hydroxyl group in BPA. This peak increased with BPA contents in the blends. Detailed analysis shows a new component at 3430 cm⁻¹ in the OH region. The relative intensity of this band demonstrates a similar BPA content dependence with that of the peak at 1715 cm⁻¹, suggesting that the band at 3430 cm⁻¹ is due to the OH stretching of hydroxyl group in BPA coupled with carbonyl group in PMEA.

Quantitative analyses for Fig. 1 show that the hydrogen bonded OH multimers of BPA dominate IRRA spectra when the molar ratio of BPA in the blends are higher than 36 % (Fig. 1A(d)). As previously proposed by Coleman *et al.*,^{1,2} the inter-association and self-association types of hydrogen bonding are employed to characterize the interaction between PMEA and BPA molecules. The calculation results showed that equilibrium constant of self-association (K_B =66.8) is much larger than that of inter-association (K_A =2.0), indicating that BPA molecules readily associated with themselves, i.e., a phase separation occurred in the bulk of PMEA/BPA blends.

Furthermore, SFG results on the BPA/PMEA blend surfaces will also be provided for direct comparison between hydrogen bonding states in bulk and on the surface of polymers.

Reference

- 1)Coleman, M. M.; Graf, F. J.; Painter, C. P. *Specific Interactions and the Miscibility of Polymer Blends*; Technomic Publishing Co. Inc.: Lancaster, PA., 1991.
- 2)Coleman, M. M.; Painter, P. C. Progress in Polymer Science **1995**, 20, 1-59.
- 3)Tanaka, M.; Motomura, T.; Kawada, M.; Anzai, T.; Kasori, Y.; Shiroya, T.; Shimura, K.; Onishi, M.; Mochizuki, A. *Biomaterials* **2000**, *21*, 1471-1481.
- 4)Mueller, X.; Jegger, D.; Augstburger, M.; Horisberger, J.; von Segesser, L. K. *Int. J. Artif. Organs* **2002**, *25*, 223-229.
- 5)Gunaydin, S.; Farsak, B.; Kocakulak, M.; Sari, T.; Yorgancioglu, C.; Zorlutuna, Y. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* **2002**, *74*, 819-824.
- 6)Li, G.; Morita, S.; Ye, S.; Tanaka, M.; Osawa, M. Anal. Chem. 2004, 76, 788-795.
- 7)Ye, S.; Morita, S.; Li, G.; Noda, H.; Tanaka, K.; Uosaki, K.; Osawa, M. *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 5694-5703.
- 8)Morita, S.; Li, G.; Ye, S.; Osawa, M. Vibrational Spectroscopy 2004, 35, 15-19.
- 9)Li, G.; Morita, S.; Nishida, T.; Ye, S.; Osawa, M. submitted.
- 10)Shen, Y. R. *The Principles of Nonlinear Optics*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, 1984.
- 11)Buck, M.; Himmelhaus, M. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **2001**, *19*, 2717-2736.



Figure 1 IRRA spectra of the polymer blends on Au surface, in the frequency region of (A) O-H stretching and (B) C=O stretching, for different molar ratio of BPA in the blends between PMEA and BPA. See text for details.

Sapporo 001-0021, Japan