## Temperature Influence on the Generation Lifetime Determination Based on Drain Current Transients in Partially Depleted SOI nMOSFETs

<sup>2</sup>LSI/PSI/USP, University of São Paulo, Brazil

- <sup>3</sup> Centro Universitário da FEI, S.B.Campo, Brazil
  - <sup>4</sup> E.E. Dept. KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium e-mail: martino@lsi.usp.br

Carrier lifetime in SOI MOSFETs is an important parameter for technology characterization and device performance. Several authors have reported generation lifetime measurement methods using SOI MOSFETs [1-4], but most of them require complicated data analysis. Floating body Partially Depleted (PD) SOI MOSFETs exhibit drain current transients [5-8] and these can be used to determine the generation lifetime,  $\tau_g$ , without numerical analysis [9]. The use of the above methods to obtain  $\tau_g$  for different temperatures can take a long time, while for many applications one only needs at dedicated operation temperatures an estimation of  $\tau_g$  to evaluate the impact of the wafer quality and/or some process steps.

This paper presents an analysis of the temperature influence on the  $\tau_g$  determination using drain current transients in floating body PD nMOSFETs[9] fabricated in a 0.13 $\mu$ m SOI CMOS technology.

Figure 1 shows the measured drain current transients  $I_d(t)$  normalized to the steady-state current level  $I_{d\infty}$  for a PD SOI nMOSFET after switching its gate from  $V_{\text{Ghigh}}=0.7\text{V}$  to  $V_{\text{Glow}}=0.1\text{V}$  at different temperatures in the 20°C to 80°C range. As can be easily seen, the  $I_d$  is significantly suppressed immediately after the negative voltage step and it gradually increases towards the steady-state value due to the generation of holes. The magnitude of the transient time  $T_0$  decreases with increasing temperature. Applying the  $\tau_g$  calculation method [9] for a nMOSFET with  $L=W=10 \ \mu\text{m}$ , a gate oxide thickness  $t_{ox}=2.5 \ \text{mm}$  and a film doping concentration  $N_a=5.5 \times 10^{17} \ \text{cm}^{-3}$  as used in this work, a  $\tau_g$  of 0.09  $\mu$ s is obtained at 20°C.

The expression used to calculate  $\tau_g$  has 3 terms [9]: a factor F,  $n_i$  (intrinsic carrier concentration) and  $T_o$ . The F factor is a function of both  $t_{ox}$  and  $N_a$ . Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of the F factor,  $n_i$  and  $T_o$  for the same device operating between 20<sup>o</sup>C to 80<sup>o</sup>C. In spite of the fact that  $n_i$  strongly increases with temperature,  $T_0$  decreases almost with the same rate and the F factor only slightly increases from  $6.82 \times 10^{-19}$  (at 20<sup>o</sup>C) to  $7.71 \times 10^{-19}$  cm<sup>3</sup> (at 80<sup>o</sup>C). Taking as a reference the F factor at 20<sup>o</sup>C (F<sub>1</sub>) the change to 80<sup>o</sup>C (F<sub>2</sub>) is about 13%. The sensitivity of F to  $t_{ox}$  and  $N_a$  is also studied and the maximum change in F is about 17%, obtained at 80<sup>o</sup>C and with ±10% error on  $N_a$ .

As for many applications the F factor changes can be neglected, a simple method to estimate  $\tau_g$  at different temperatures is proposed using the equation below.

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{g3} = \left[\boldsymbol{\tau}_{g1} \cdot \left(\frac{T_3}{T_1}\right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2.K} \left(\frac{E_{s3}}{T_3} - \frac{E_{s1}}{T_1}\right)}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{T_{0,2}}{T_{0,1}}\right)^{\left(\frac{T_3 - T_1}{T_3 - T_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{T_2 - T_1}{T_2 - T_1}\right)}$$

It is only required to measure  $T_0$  at 2 different temperatures  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  and to calculate  $\tau_{g1}$  at  $T_1$  in order to determine  $\tau_{g3}$  at any arbitrary temperature  $T_3$ . Figure 3 shows the experimental  $\tau_g$  values for different operation temperatures using [9] and the proposed method. A good agreement is observed and the maximum error, including both, the F factor and the apparent linear approximation errors, is estimated to be around 6%. **References** 

[1] S. Sinha, A. Zaleski and D. Ioannou, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 41, p.2413, Dec., 1994.

[2] S. Venkatesan, R. Pierret and G. Neudeck, IEEE SOI Conference, p.120, 1992.

[3] N. Yasuda et al., IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 39, p.1197, May, 1992.

[4] D. Ioannou et al., IEEE Electron Device Letter, vol.11, p.409, Sept., 1990.

[5] H. Shin et al. IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 43, p.318, Feb., 1996.

[6] K. Kato and K. Tanaguchi, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 33, p.133, Jan. 1986.

[7] H.K. Lim and J. Fossum, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 31, p.1251, Sep. 1984.

[8] H. Shin et al., Solid-State Electronics, vol.43, p.349, 1999.

[9] H. Shin et al., IEEE Trans. Electron Devices, vol. 45, p.2378, Nov., 1998.



Figure 1 – Temperature dependence of the drain current transients drain current measured after applying a switch-off gate voltage step.



Figure 2 – Theoretically calculated F factor and  $n_i$  values and experimentally obtained  $T_0$  as a function of temperature.



Figure 3 – Generation lifetime values obtained experimentally and by using the proposed method.