Lithium ion batteries have revolutionized the portable electronics market and are being intensively pursued for hybrid electric vehicle (HEV) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV) applications. Although the concept of rechargeable lithium batteries was initially demonstrated with a transition metal sulfide cathode TiS₂ in the early 1970s, the poor cyclability and safety concerns of metallic lithium anodes, along with the limited cell voltage (< 2.5 V) of the chalcogenide cathodes, was an impediment to realize commercial rechargeable lithium batteries. The pioneering work of Goodenough’s group on lithium-containing insertion cathodes—a 3d band with the top of the O²⁻ band with the top of the O²⁻—such as layered LiCoO₂ and spinel LiMn₂O₄, at the University of Oxford in the early 1980s, to increase the cell voltage to as high as 4 V vs Li/Li⁺ along with the successful development of carbon anodes by Sony Corporation, made the present-day lithium ion battery technology (based on lithium insertion/extraction hosts as both anodes and cathodes) a commercial reality following the initial launch by Sony in the early 1990s. Although most of the lithium ion cells used in portable electronic devices such as cell phones and laptop computers are based on layered oxide cathodes containing cobalt and a carbon anode, the high cost and toxicity of cobalt as well as the chemical instability and safety concerns at deep charge arising from a significant overlap of the Co³⁺/⁴⁺:3d band with the top of the O²⁻:2p band prevent the use of cobalt-rich cathodes in large batteries for HEV and PHEV applications.

From cost and environmental points of view, cathodes based on elements like Mn and Fe will be desirable. In this regard, spinel LiMn₂O₄ is appealing, as Mn is inexpensive and environmentally benign, and the 3-dimensional spinel structure with a good structural stability supports high rate capability necessary for HEV and PHEV applications. However, spinel LiMn₂O₄ is plagued by severe capacity fade at elevated temperatures due to the dissolution of manganese from the spinel lattice and consequent attack of the carbon anode by the dissolved manganese, resulting in a rise in cell impedance. Nevertheless, significant progress is being made in recent years and spinel LiMn₂O₄ remains a serious contender for high power applications.

Although simple oxides such as LiCoO₂, LiNiO₂, and LiMn₂O₄ with highly oxidized redox couples (Co³⁺/⁴⁺, Ni³⁺/⁴⁺, Mn⁵⁺/⁶⁺ respectively) were able to offer high cell voltages of ~ 4 V in lithium ion cells, they are prone to release oxygen from the lattice in the charged state at elevated temperatures due to the chemical instability of highly oxidized species such as Co⁴⁺ and Ni⁴⁺. One way to overcome this problem is to work with lower-valent redox couples like Fe²⁺/³⁺. However, a decrease in the oxidation state will raise the redox energy of the cathode and lower the cell voltage. Recognizing this and to keep the cost low, Manthiram and Goodenough focused on oxides containing polyanions such as XO₄³⁻ (X = S, Mo, and W) as lithium insertion hosts in the 1980s while the Delmas group was also pursuing polyanion hosts.

The remarkable increase in cell voltage on going from a simple oxide like Fe₂O₃ to highly oxidized redox couples (Fe³⁺/⁴⁺, Ni³⁺/⁴⁺, Co³⁺/⁴⁺) was attributed to the influence of inductive effect and consequent differences in the location of the Fe²⁺/³⁺ redox levels relative to the Li⁺/Li₀ redox level as seen in Fig. 1. In the Nasicon-related Fe₂(SO₄)₃ hosts, Fe₂(SO₄)₃ hosts with corner-shared Fe₂O₃ octahedra and XO₄ tetrahedra.
Fig. 1. Positions of the Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\) redox energies relative to that of Li/Li\(^{+}\) in various Fe-containing lithium insertion hosts and consequent changes in cell voltages, illustrating the role of polyoxions.

Fig. 2. Crystal structure of olivine LiFePO\(_4\) with one-dimensional lithium diffusion channels.

The initial work was able to extract only <0.7 lithium ions from LiFePO\(_4\) even at very low current densities, which corresponds to a reversible capacity of < 120 mAh/g.\(^{11}\) As the lithium extraction/insertion occurred by a two-phase mechanism with LiFePO\(_4\) and FePO\(_4\) as end members without much solid solubility, the limitation in capacity was attributed to the diffusion-limited transfer of lithium across the two-phase interface. Nevertheless, as Fe is abundant, inexpensive, and environmentally benign, olivine LiFePO\(_4\) attracted immense interest as a potential cathode. Recognizing that the limited reversible capacity and low rate capability may be linked to the poor electronic conductivity arising from corner-shared FeO\(_6\) octahedra and localized Fe\(^{2+}\) or Fe\(^{3+}\) ions, initial work following the identification of LiFePO\(_4\) as a lithium insertion host concentrated on coating the LiFePO\(_4\) powder with conductive carbon.\(^{12}\) However, other early investigations\(^{13,14}\) suggested that both intimate contact with conductive carbon and particle size minimization are necessary to optimize electrochemical performance. LiFePO\(_4\) is a one-dimensional lithium ion conductor with the lithium ion diffusion occurring along edge-shared LiO\(_6\) chains (b axis) as seen in Fig. 2. With a reduction in particle size and coating with conductive carbon, reversible capacity values of ~160 mAh/g could be realized.\(^{15,16}\)

Subsequently, doping of LiFePO\(_4\) with supervalent cations like Ti\(^{4+},\) Zr\(^{4+}\), and Nb\(^{5+}\) and organometallic precursors of the dopants was reported\(^{17}\) to increase the electronic conductivity by a factor of 10.\(^8\) Although this report attracted significant interest, subsequent investigations suggested that the formation of a percolating nano-network of metallic iron phosphides may play a role in enhancing electronic conductivity.\(^{16}\)

Recognition of the importance of both the decrease in particle size and improvement in electronic conductivity has also generated a flurry of activities on the solution-based synthesis of LiFePO\(_4\) to minimize the particle size and on coating the LiFePO\(_4\) particles with conductive species such as carbon and conducting polymers.\(^{17-22}\) Among them, hydrothermal synthesis\(^{17,18}\) has been particularly appealing, and Sud-Chimie Inc. is currently engaged in the scale up of this process to produce large quantities of LiFePO\(_4\) for commercial cells. More recently, microwave-assisted hydrothermal and solvothermal approaches have been found to offer single crystal LiFePO\(_4\) with high crystallinity at significantly low temperatures of 230-300°C in a relatively short reaction time of

and Fe-O-X-Fe (X = S, Mo, or W) linkage, the strength of the X-O bond can influence the Fe-O covalence and thereby the relative position of the Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\) redox energy. The stronger the X-O bonding, the weaker is the Fe-O bonding and consequently the lower is the Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\) redox energy relative to that in a simple oxide like Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). The net result is a higher cell voltage in going from Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) to Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\) or Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\). Comparing Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\) and Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\), a stronger S-O covalent bonding in Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) compared to the Mo-O bonding in Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\) leads to a weaker Fe-O covalence in Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) compared to that in Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\), resulting in a lowering of the Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\) redox energy in Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) compared to that in Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\) and a consequent increase in cell voltage by 0.6 V on going from Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\) (3.0 V vs Li/Li\(^{+}\)) to Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) (3.6 V vs Li/Li\(^{+}\)). Thus, the replacement of simple O\(^{2-}\) ions by X\(^{n-}\) polyoxions was recognized to offer a viable approach to tune the position of redox levels in solids and consequently to realize higher cell voltages with chemically more stable lower valent redox couples like Fe\(^{2+}/Fe^{3+}\). This approach was subsequently pursued with several phosphates with two graduate students at the University of Texas at Austin in the late 1980s and in 1990s.\(^{9,10}\)

Although the above findings in the late 1980s demonstrated an important fundamental concept in tuning the redox energies in solids, the cathode hosts pursued (Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) and Fe\(_2\)(MoO\(_4\))\(_3\)) did not contain any lithium, so they could not be combined with the carbon anode in a lithium ion cell. With an establishment of the advantage of the inductive effect in polyoxion containing hosts to raise the cell voltage,\(^{6,7,8}\) Goodenough’s group, now relocated to the University of Texas at Austin, focused on lithium-containing polyoxion hosts in the 1990s. This led to the identification in 1997 of LiFePO\(_4\) crystallizing in the olivine structure (Fig. 2) as a facile lithium extraction/insertion host that could be combined with a carbon anode in lithium ion cells.\(^{11}\) They also identified other olivine LiMPO\(_4\) (M = Mn, Co, and Ni) as lithium insertion/extraction hosts. Since its identification as a potential cathode, LiFePO\(_4\) has created intensive studies both from scientific and technological points of view and some of the more prominent follow-up activities are briefly discussed below.
5-15 min.22 The products obtained by such approaches exhibit unique nanorod-like morphologies with excellent crystallinity (see the TEM fringe pattern) as seen in Fig. 3 with the easy lithium diffusion direction (b axis) perpendicular to the long axis, which is beneficial for achieving high rate capability. The nanocrystalline samples obtained by these solution-based approaches exhibit capacities close to the theoretical value (170 mAh/g) with excellent rate capabilities.20,23

Although the initial work by Goodenough’s group revealed a two-phase reaction mechanism with LiFePO₄ and FePO₄ as end members,11 subsequent investigations have indicated several interesting observations.22,24-26 For example, the miscibility gap between the two phases has been found to decrease with increasing temperature, and the occurrence of a single-phase solid solution LiₓFePO₄ with 0 ≤ x ≤ 1 has been reported at 450°C.25 Similarly, the miscibility gap has been found to decrease with decreasing particle size22,26 and complete solid solubility between LiFePO₄ and FePO₄ at room temperature has been reported for 40 nm size particles.22 Thus, what was originally found to be a two-phase reaction mechanism with micrometer-size particles11 has now turned into a single-phase reaction mechanism with nano-sized particles. This is a clear demonstration of how nanoparticles can behave entirely different from their micrometer sized counterparts. Defect chemistry with the existence of cationic vacancies in the samples prepared by the low temperature approaches has been suggested to be partly the reason for this contrasting behavior of the nano-sized particles.

In summary, recognition of the influence of inductive effect in tuning the relative position of the redox couples and the cell voltage has led to the identification of polyanion-containing phospho-olivine compound, LiFePO₄, as a potential cathode for lithium ion batteries. The abundance and low cost of Fe, excellent thermal stability and safety offered by the covalently-bonded PO₄ groups, and the high rate capability realized with the nanoparticles coated with conductive carbon, have made LiFePO₄ an attractive candidate for HEV and PHEV applications. LiFePO₄ is now intensively developed by companies such as A123 Systems Inc. for automotive applications. Thus, the identification of phospho-olivines as a lithium insertion/extraction host11 has led to a profound scientific and technological impact in the field. Although the energy density of LiFePO₄ is currently limited due to its lower operating voltage (3.4 V) and the less dense olivine structure, other phospho-olivines such as LiMnPO₄ (4.1 V), LiCoPO₄ (4.8 V), and LiNiPO₄ (5.2 V) with higher operating voltages are appealing for increasing the energy density. However many challenges including the development of more stable, robust electrolyte compositions remain to be addressed.
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**Fig. 3.** TEM images of single crystal LiFePO₄ prepared by microwave-assisted solvothermal method at 300°C for 5 min, illustrating the highly crystalline nature of the synthesized sample.


