The 2011 Colin Garfield Fink Summer Research Fellowship — Summary Report

Active Ceramic Anodes for Solid Oxide Fuel Cells: Ce(Mn, Fe)O₂ and La(Sr)Fe(Mn)O₃ Composite Oxide

veral oxides have recently attracted great interest as anode material candidates for solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) to overcome serious deterioration associated with the traditional Ni-based ceramet; particularly, carbon deposition and re-oxidation tolerance¹. In our previous study, we proposed that a relatively good power generation property as well as excellent redox tolerance could be achieved by using doped CeO₂ and LaFeO₂ composite oxide as an anode for SOFCs.2 However, in spite of several oxides with positive results reported for oxide anode, the power density of the cells at intermediate temperatures was still smaller than that of the cell using a Ni cermet anode because of insufficient electrical conductivity as well as catalytic activity.

To enhance electrical conductivity and catalytic properties on the anode for SOFCs, metal or oxide catalyst additives have been used. In this work, many type of catalysts were examined to improve anodic performance of the Ce(Mn,Fe)O, and La(Sr) Fe(Mn)O, composite oxide (CMF-LSMF) as shown in Table I. Among them, it was found that CMF-LSFM modified by small amount of Pd or RuO, are highly effective for enhancing anodic performance in not only H, but also hydrocarbon fuel. Since one of the attractive things for ceramic anode is possibility for utilization of hydrocarbon as a fuel in SOFC systems, we also examined various possible anodes in CH₄ and C₂H₆. Figure 1 shows the impedance plot of oxide

by Tae Ho Shin



FIG. 1. Impedance plot for various anodes; catalyst metal loaded on oxide anode in the CH_4 at 1073K. A small amount of precious metals (Pt, Au, Pd, and RuO_2) was modified on oxide anodes (La(Sr)Fe(Mn)O₄, Ce(Mn, Fe)O, and their mixture composite.

anode with various additives in CH_4 . In the case of Au, it seems that a small amount of Au leads to decrease anodic ohmic resistance of oxide anode but much large diffusion resistance was shown in large semicircle at low frequency in Fig. 1. This suggests that simple improvement in conductivity is not

enough for anode performance in SOFC and increased activity on the surface is also strongly requested.

In contrast, when a small amount of Pd and RuO₂ was added to CMF-LSFM composite anode, increased cell performance could *(continued on next page)*

Table I. Power density and anodic properties of the cell using oxide anode with various catalytic metals.

Oxide anode with additive	At 1073K in H ₂				At 1073K in CH ₄			
	Cell performance		Potential drop (mV) ^a		Cell performance		Potential drop (mV) ^b	
	0.C.V. (V)	M.P.D. (mW/cm²)	η_{anode}	IR _{anode}	0.C.V. (V)	M.P.D. (mW/cm²)	η_{anode}	IR _{anode}
$\rm Ce_{0.6}Mn_{0.3}Fe_{0.1}O_2+La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Fe_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}O_3$ (Denoted as Composite CMF-LSFM)	1.113	315	34.5	162.5	0.572	24	21.9	56.3
10wt%Fe $_2O_3$ + (Composite CMFLSFM)	1.105	250	37.5	150	0.519	20	-	-
2wt% Pd modified $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Fe_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}O_3$	1.117	550	25	118.75	0.846	123	15.62	71.87
5wt% Pt loaded on $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Fe_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}O_3$	1.091	167	93.7	231.3	0.479	-	-	-
5wt% Au loaded on $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Fe_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}O_3$	1.111	190	75	290.6	0.308	-	-	-
5wt% Au loaded on Ce _{0.6} Mn _{0.3} Fe _{0.1} O ₂	1.109	181	46.9	196.9	0.3	-	-	-
5wt% $\mathrm{RuO_{2}}$ + (Composite CMFLSFM)	1.114	330	37.5	187.5	0.927	125	15.62	75.01
10wt% RuO_2 + (Composite CMFLSFM)	1.109	230	78.13	243.75	0.893	108	21.25	97.5

 $^{a}\text{Potential drop at 0.3A/cm}^{2}\text{, cathode; }\text{Sm}_{_{0.5}}\text{CoO}_{_{3}}\text{, electrolyte; }\text{La}_{_{0.8}}\text{Sr}_{_{0.2}}\text{Ga}_{_{0.8}}\text{Mg}_{_{0.15}}\text{Co}_{_{0.05}}\text{O}_{_{3}}\text{ in }\text{H}_{_{2}}$

 $^{b} Potential drop at 0.3 A/cm^{2}, cathode; Sm_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_{3}, electrolyte; La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Ga_{0.8}Mg_{0.15}Co_{0.05}O_{3} in CH_{4} CO_{10}CO_{1$

 $\eta_{\text{anode}}\text{;}$ anodic overpotential, IR $_{\text{anode}}\text{;}$ anodic resistance loss



FIG. 2. Improved cell performance by additives: (a) cell voltage and power density versus current density for fuel cell with a $La(Sr)Fe(Mn)O_3$ perovskite anode modified with 2 wt% Pd in hydrogen at 1173K (**b**), 1073K (**c**), and 973K (**b**); (b) maximum power density of the cell using CMF-LSFM composite anode as a function of RuO_2 amount in composite anode at 1073K for various fuel conditions. The electrolyte-supporting cell had an electrolyte thickness < 300 µm and $Sm_0 Sr_0$, COO₃ cathode.

be achieved. Figure 2a shows temperature dependent cell voltage and power density versus current density for the cell with a La(Sr)Fe(Mn)O₃ perovskite anode modified with 2 wt% Pd in H₂. In particular the maximum power density of approximately 0.6 W/cm² was achieved at 1073K in H₂. It is noted that this power density is relatively large compared to the results reported for ceramic anodes. Furthermore, in the case of RuO₂ as an additive, fairly good maximum power density values of 125 and 1500 mW/ cm² were achieved at 1073K under CH, and $C_{2}H_{8}$ when 5 wt% and 10 wt% of RuO₂ mixed to CMF-LSFM composite oxide anode. Figure 2b shows the optimization of maximum power density as a function of amount of RuO_2 in anode under CH_4 and C_3H_8 at 1073K. Further study is now underway and it could be concluded that CMF-LSFM composite oxide modified with Pd or RuO, is highly attractive as an active ceramic anode for SOFC.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges ECS for the Colin Garfield Fink 2011 Summer Fellowship and Prof. Tatsumi Ishihara at Kyushu University for his guidance and encouragement as my supervisor. The author also wants to acknowledge encouragement from Prof. Sang-Hoon Hyun at Yonsei University and Dr. Shiwoo Lee.

About the Author

TAE HO SHIN is a PhD candidate at Kyushu University in Japan, under the supervision of Tatsumi Ishihara. Shin may be reached at shin_th@cstf.kyushu-u.ac.jp.

References

- S. Tao and J. T. S. Irvine, *Nat. Mater.*, 2, 320 (2003).
- T. H. Shin, P. Vanalabhpatana, and T. Ishihara, J. Electrochem. Soc., 157, B1896 (2010).